

United States Patent and Trademark Office

liC

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO	Э.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/065,108	10/065,108 09/18/2002		Ronald Scott Bunker	839-1331	8204	
30024	7590	01/07/2004		EXAMINER		
		IDERHYE P.C./G.E.	KIM, TAE JUN			
1100 N. GLEBE RD. SUITE 800				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
ARLINGTON, VA 22201				3746		
				DATE MAILED: 01/07/2004	10	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	_		'h L
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Advisory Action	10/065,108	BUNKER, RONALD SCOTT	
Advisory Action	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Ted Kim	3746	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 12/23/03 FAILS TO PLACE THIS A Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appe Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	avoid abandonment of this appl 1) a timely filed amendment wh	ication. A proper replich places the application	oly to a cation in
PERIOD FOR RE	EPLY [check either a) or b)]		
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing			
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adverse, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f).	nan SIX MONTHS from the mailing date FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF TH	of the final rejection. HE FINAL REJECTION. S	See MPEP
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The data have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exten 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three meanned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	nsion and the corresponding amount of the distance of the statutory period for reply originally set in	ne fee. The appropriate ext n the final Office action; or	ension fee under (2) as set forth in
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CF			
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered by	pecause:		
(a) $oxed{\boxtimes}$ they raise new issues that would require furth	ner consideration and/or search	(see NOTE below);	
(b) \square they raise the issue of new matter (see Note	below);		
(c) ☑ they are not deemed to place the application issues for appeal; and/or	in better form for appeal by ma	aterially reducing or s	simplifying the
(d) they present additional claims without cance	ling a corresponding number of	f finally rejected clair	ns.
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.			
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection	ction(s):		
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s). 	d be allowable if submitted in a	separate, timely file	d amendment
5.☐ The a)☐ affidavit, b)☐ exhibit, or c)☐ request for application in condition for allowance because:		nsidered but does NO	OT place the
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered be raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ecause it is not directed SOLEL	Y to issues which we	ere newly
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims w		and an	
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows	:		
Claim(s) allowed:			
Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected:			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			

Primary Examiner (703) 308-2631

10. Other: ____

8. The drawing correction filed on ____ is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner.

9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s). _____.

Continuation Sheet (PTOL-303) 10/065,108

Application No.

Continuation of 2. NOTE: New issues include for claim 1, the inclusion of "both" the radially inner and outer surfaces are formed with an array of concavities, and "each having a diameter D with center-to-center distance between adjacent cavities is equal to about 1.1 to 2D." Previously, for claim 1 and its dependents, the issue of "both" was considered in a single dependent claim. The center-to-center spacing in combination with "both" presents new claim combinations in both claim 1 and the dependent claims that were previously unconsidered. For claim 12, "each having a diameter D with center-to-center distance between adjacent cavities is equal to about 1.1 to 2D" is a new issue. The center-to-center spacing in claim 12 presents new claim combinations for the dependent claims (e.g. 13-15) that were previously unconsidered.